

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
8 Plaintiff,
9
10 v.
11 MIKLOS DANIEL BRODY,
12 Defendant.

13 Case No. 22-cr-00168-WHO-1

14 **ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT
15 ACCESS TO ACCOUNTS FROZEN BY
16 THE GOVERNMENT**

17 Defendant Miklos Daniel Brody moves for permission to access financial accounts frozen
18 by the government in order to get his financial affairs in order, make a restitution payment, and
19 pay the monthly CJA fee. Dkt. No. 241. The government objects: it reached out to some, though
20 not all, of Brody's financial institutions to inform them of the government's lien on Brody's
21 accounts under 18 U.S.C. § 3613(c), which ensures that the assets in them are available for the
22 victim in this case. Dkt. 242 ("Objection"). Some, but not all, of Brody's financial institutions
23 subsequently froze Brody's accounts. It is clear that Brody continues to access some other
24 accounts because on February 1, 2024, the day before he filed this motion, he made a restitution
payment of \$110,000 from some source. That payment is obviously a positive step towards
compliance with his obligations under the Judgment—yet he did not mention it in support of his
motion. It is unclear what accounts he can access today, other than his checking account, and
what assets they hold.

25 Brody's motion is DENIED. If Brody is unrepresented, AUSA Hsu has offered to
26 communicate with him. Objection, Dkt. No. 242, at 3:7-12. To the extent Brody has concerns
27 about his ability to comply with his obligations, he should be prepared to truthfully confirm all of
28

1 his assets, in his accounts and otherwise, if he is seeking some accommodation by the government.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 Dated: February 8, 2024



4
5 William H. Orrick
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28